

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,755	10/037,755 10/22/2001		Young-Kwon Cho	678-757 (P9993)	7574
28249	7590	05/17/2006	EXAMINER		INER
		ARRESE, LLP	FILE, ERIN M		
333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			2611	
				DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/037,755	CHO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Erin M. File	2611
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Fe This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 October 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/037,755

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 10-1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,960,029), Kishi (U.S. Patent No. 6,888,813), and Johnston (U.S. Patent No. 5,481,614).

Claims 1, 10, Kim discloses modulating in phase and quadrature channels (fig. 1, 13) and spreading the modulated signals by an orthogonal code (fig. 1, 15). Kim fails to disclose generating a modulated pilot channel at a designated phase, however, Kishi discloses a known phase value is subjected to modulation and then spreading with a

Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

specific spreading code to obtain a pilot signal (col. 2, lines 11-14). Because Kishi discloses that this method has the advantage of suppressing disturbance due to fading, etc., (col. 2, lines 17-21), it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the modulation and spreading method of Kishi into Kim. Further, although neither Kim nor Kishi disclose the burst pilot channel transmits side information being dependent on the transmission data according to at least one of the phase, and the complex channel and the orthogonal code, Johnston discloses a bit flag which specifies the coding mode of the band to transmit side information (col. 21, lines 3-6). Because Johnston discloses that this method of coding has the advantage in being adaptive in time (col. 21, lines 3-6), it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the side information transmission method of Johnston into the combined inventions of Kim and Kishi.

Claims 2, 11, Neither Kim, Kishi, nor Johnston disclose the modulated pilot symbol has a length of 128 chips, however, at the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to adjust pilot length of 128 chips. Applicant has not disclosed using this particular code length provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. Further, the specification discloses that the burst pilot channel can vary from 64 to as many as 1,024 chips. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with pilot bursts of varying sizes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2611

one of ordinary skill in this art to modify Na to obtain the invention as specified in Claim 2.

Claims 3, 12, Neither Kim, Kishi, nor Johnston disclose the modulated pilot symbol has a length of 64 chips. However, is would be obvious to one skilled in the art to use a modulated pilot symbol of a length of 64 chips as is described in Claim 2 above.

Claims 4, 13, Kim further discloses a complex channel including an I channel and a Q channel (fig. 1).

4. Claims 5, 7, 8, 14, 16, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,960,029) and Kishi (U.S. Patent No. 6,888,813).

Claims 5, 14, Kim discloses modulating in phase and quadrature channels (fig. 1, 13) and spreading the modulated signals by an orthogonal code (fig. 1, 15). Kim fails to disclose generating a modulated pilot channel at a designated phase, however, Kishi discloses a known phase value is subjected to modulation and then spreading with a specific spreading code to obtain a pilot signal (col. 2, lines 11-14). Because Kishi discloses that this method has the advantage of suppressing disturbance due to fading, etc., (col. 2, lines 17-21), it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the modulation and spreading method of Kishi into Kim.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,755

Art Unit: 2611

Claims 7, 8, 16, 17, Kim discloses modulating in phase and quadrature channels (fig. 1, 13) and spreading the modulated signals by an orthogonal code (fig. 1, 15). Kim further discloses an indexed orthogonal spreading code is used to spread the signals (fig. 1, 15), indicating that this code is one of a plurality of codes. Kim fails to disclose generating a modulated pilot channel at a designated phase, however, Kishi discloses a known phase value is subjected to modulation and then spreading with a specific spreading code to obtain a pilot signal (col. 2, lines 11-14). Because Kishi discloses that this method has the advantage of suppressing disturbance due to fading, etc., (col. 2, lines 17-21), it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the modulation and spreading method of Kishi into Kim.

Page 5

5. Claims 6, 9, 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,960,029), Kishi (U.S. Patent No. 6,888,813), and Bottomley (U.S. Patent No. 6,515,980).

Claims 6, 15, Kim discloses modulating in phase and quadrature channels (fig. 1, 13) and spreading the modulated signals by an orthogonal code (fig. 1, 15). Kim fails to disclose generating a modulated pilot channel at a designated phase, however, Kishi discloses a known phase value is subjected to modulation and then spreading with a specific spreading code to obtain a pilot signal (col. 2, lines 11-14). Because Kishi discloses that this method has the advantage of suppressing disturbance due to fading,

Art Unit: 2611

etc., (col. 2, lines 17-21), it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the modulation and spreading method of Kishi into Kim. Although neither Kim nor Kishi disclose the modulated pilot output on a designated complex channel according to an information bit for determining the complex channel, however, Bottomley discloses a received baseband signal which is defined in terms of a complex channel coefficient (col. 8, eq. 1, lines 48-51). Because the designation of a complex channel allows for reduced channel interference (col. 8, lines 37-40), it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the complex channel designation as disclosed by Bottomley into the combined invention of Kim and Kishi.

Claim 9, 18, Kim discloses modulating in phase and quadrature channels (fig. 1, 13) and spreading the modulated signals by an orthogonal code (fig. 1, 15). Kim further discloses an indexed orthogonal spreading code is used to spread the signals (fig. 1, 15), indicating that this code is one of a plurality of codes. Kim fails to disclose generating a modulated pilot channel at a designated phase, however, Kishi discloses a known phase value is subjected to modulation and then spreading with a specific spreading code to obtain a pilot signal (col. 2, lines 11-14). Because Kishi discloses that this method has the advantage of suppressing disturbance due to fading, etc., (col. 2, lines 17-21), it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the modulation and spreading method of Kishi into Kim.

Although neither Kim nor Kishi disclose the modulated pilot output on a designated

Application/Control Number: 10/037,755 Page 7

Art Unit: 2611

complex channel according to an information bit for determining the complex channel, however, Bottomley discloses a received baseband signal which is defined in terms of a complex channel coefficient (col. 8, eq. 1, lines 48-51). Because the designation of a complex channel allows for reduced channel interference (col. 8, lines 37-40), it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the complex channel designation as disclosed by Bottomley into the combined invention of Kim and Kishi.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erin M. File whose telephone number is (571)272-6040. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,755

Art Unit: 2611

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Erin M. File

5/15/2006

JEAN B. CORRIELUS PRIMARY EXAMINER 5-15-06